# Restrictions on the generic interpretation of dedicated impersonal pronouns

STAS OSTO

Sarah Zobel (Universitetet i Oslo)

# 1-Background

## **Standard assumptions:**

- impersonal pronouns contribute an individual variable x
- the generic interpretation arises by a covert generic operator *Gen* binding *x* (e.g., Moltmann 2010; Malamud 2012)
  - (Gen) a. One doesn't work on Sundays.
    - b. Gen x [x doesn't work on Sundays]

## 2-Contrasts to be accounted for

Given (Gen), these contrasts are unexpected:

- (1) a. #One eats carrots.
  - b. **Humans** eat carrots.
- (2) a. **One** eats  $\{\#a \text{ carrot } / \#carrots\}$ .
  - b. In Norway, **one** eats {#a carrot / carrots}.
  - c. **One** eats {a carrot / carrots} for breakfast.

(Same contrasts are found for German generic man.)

#### References

Boneh & Doron. 2013. Hab and Gen in the expression of habituality. 
Malamud. 2012. Impersonal indexicals: one, you, man, and du. 
Moltmann. 2010. Generalizing Detached Self-Reference and the Semantics of Generic One. 
Rimell. 2004. Habitual Sentences and Generic Quantification. This research is part of IPG\_CORE, which is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 842363.

## 3-Accounting for (1)

## Previous proposal:

(a.o. Moltmann 2010)

- generic one expresses first-person-orientation
- (1-a) is odd because it cannot express a generalization based on a speaker's experience

### New proposal:

- **Assumption:** the presence of *Gen* has to be motivated (see Rimell 2004, Boneh & Doron 2013)
- Main claim: lexical material in (1-a) fails to signal the tripartite quantificational structure needed to motivate *Gen* (unlike (1-b))
  - (1-a') a. #One eats carrots.
    - b. Gen x [???] [x eats carrots]
  - (1-b') a. **Humans** eat carrots.
    - b. Gen x [x is a human] [x eats carrots]
- **Support:** no oddness if a potential restrictor for *Gen* is added (e.g., a frame adverbial)
  - (2-b') a. In Norway, **one** eats carrots.
    - b. Gen x [x in Norway] [x eats carrots]

Generic impersonal pronouns depend on Gen but cannot license the presence of Gen.

## 4-Accounting for (2)

- Two types of habituals Hab vs. Gen:
  - -non-quantificational: iteration over events (Hab)
    -quantificational: quantification over situations (Gen)
  - ⇒ only *Gen*-habituals allow for sg. objects
  - ⇒ presence of *Gen* has to be motivated (see Rimell 2004, Boneh & Doron 2013)
  - (3) a. **Mia** eats {#a carrot / carrots}.
    - b. **Mia** eats {a carrot / carrots} for breakfast.
- **Main claim:** *Gen* only quantifies over variables motivated by material in restrictor
  - ⇒ if *Gen* quantifies only over individuals, generic *one* is incompatible with indefinite singular objects:
  - (2-b') Gen x[in-Norway(x)] [ $\exists y$ [carrot(y) & Hab e[eat(y)(x)(e)]]]
  - (2-c') Gen s, x[breakfast(x)(s)]  $[\exists y[carrot(y) \& \exists e[e \text{ in } s \& eat(y)(x)(e)]]]$

Accounting for the restrictions on predicates combining with generic impersonal pronouns requires both *Gen* and *Hab*.