



Prosodic evidence for an ellipsis-based approach to “either...or...” sentences

Danfeng Wu | dfwu@mit.edu

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Poster: tinyurl.com/WCCFLWu

Overview

Puzzle about *either...or...* sentences:

- *Either* seems to always be adjacent to disjunction (*either A or B*)
- ...except for surprising facts with unexpected disjunction

Competing syntactic analyses: *movement-based approach* and *ellipsis-based approach*

I present **prosodic evidence** for the ellipsis-based approach

Implications for the syntax-prosody interface:

- Prosody can provide evidence for syntactic claims
- Prosodic structure might reflect syntax more closely than some theories claimed

Puzzle and competing analyses

Puzzle: *Either* can be adjacent to disjunction (1a) (e.g. Sag et al. 1985) or appear higher (1b-d) (observed by Larson 1985, Schwarz 1999, den Dikken 2006, among others)

- (1) a. Lillian will look for **either** Lauren or Bella.
b. Lillian will **either** look for Lauren or Bella.
c. Lillian **either** will look for Lauren or Bella.
d. **Either** Lillian will look for Lauren or Bella.

Movement-based approach: *Either* originates as the sister of disjunction (DisjP), and then moves to its surface position (Larson 1985 and Johannessen 2005)

- (2) a. Lillian will look for **either** [DisjP Lauren or Bella].
b. Lillian will **either**_i look for t_i [DisjP Lauren or Bella].
c. Lillian **either**_i will look for t_i [DisjP Lauren or Bella].
d. **Either**_i Lillian will look for t_i [DisjP Lauren or Bella].

Ellipsis-based approach: *Either* is the sister of DisjP. When it seems high, ellipsis has applied in the noninitial disjuncts (Schwarz 1999 and Han & Romero 2004)

- (3) a. Lillian will look for **either** [DisjP Lauren or Bella].
b. Lillian will **either** [DisjP look for Lauren or ~~look for~~ Bella].
c. Lillian **either** [DisjP will look for Lauren or ~~will look for~~ Bella].
d. **Either** [DisjP Lillian will look for Lauren or ~~she will look for~~ Bella].

I **thank** my research assistants Allie Amerman, Alyssa Solomon and Kitty Zeng for their help. Thanks are also due to Athulya Aravind, Edward Flemming, Danny Fox, Michael Kenstowicz, David Pesetsky, Michael Wagner and participants of MIT Ling Lunch, McGill Syntax & Semantics Reading Group, and Stanford Syntax and Morphology Circle for helpful comments for feedback.

References are available by email on request.

Different prosodic predictions

Assumption about syntax-prosody mapping: Prosodic phrases largely reflect syntactic phrases (Selkirk 1986, 2009, 2011; Wagner 2010; Elfner 2012, 2015)

- (4) a. Subclause -> **Intermediate phrase (iP)** b. Clause -> **Intonational phrase (IP)**
They saw (Mary **iP**) and Bill Sawyer too. (They saw Mary **iP**) and Bill saw her too.

	Syntactic analysis of (1d)	Prosodic prediction
Movement-based account	Either _i Lillian will look for t _i [DisjP Lauren or Bella].	Either Lillian will look for (Lauren iP) or Bella.
Ellipsis-based account	Either [DisjP Lillian will look for Lauren or she will look for Bella].	(Either Lillian will look for Lauren iP) or Bella.

- *Ellipsis-based account:* since the size of disjunction is different for (1a-d), the prosodic boundary after *Lauren* should differ
- *Movement-based account:* since the disjunction is the same for (1a-d), the prosodic boundary after *Lauren* should be the same

Methods

- Production study with 13 participants
- 2 conditions: Critical Condition (1a-d) and Control Condition for sanity check

<i>Either</i> 's position	Critical Condition	Control Condition
A	(1a)	
B	(1b)	Lillian will either look for Lauren or she will look for Bella.
C	(1c)	Lillian either will look for Lauren or she will look for Bella.
D	(1d)	Either Lillian will look for Lauren or she will look for Bella.

- Transcribed the boundary after *Lauren* using break indices (0-4), which was supplemented by durational measures, e.g. duration of the last rime of *Lauren*
- Ordinal logistic and linear mixed effects models, with helmert-coded item as fixed effect

Discussion

- **Prosodic evidence for syntactic claim:** Supports the ellipsis-based account but not the movement-based account
- **Syntax-prosody mapping:** Prosodic structure might correspond to syntactic structure more closely than some theories claimed, distinguishing XPs vs. X-bars ((1a-b) vs. (1c)), and various subclauses (DP vs. vP) ((1a) vs. (1b))

Results

